arXiv:1610.05496v1 [math.AP] 18 Oct 2016

DOUBLE SCATTERING CHANNELS FOR $1-d$ NLS IN THE ENERGY SPACE

LUIGI FORCELLA AND NICOLA VISCIGLIA

ABSTRACT. We consider $1-d$ NLS perturbed with a steplike potential. We prove that the nonlinear solutions satisfy the double scattering channels in the energy space. The proof is based on concentration-compactness/rigidity method.

1. INTRODUCTION

Along this paper we study the behavior for large times of solutions to the following Cauchy problems:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u - Vu = |u|^\alpha u, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha > 4 \\ u(0) = u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \end{cases}, \tag{1.1}$$

namely we treat the L^2-supercritical defocusing power nonlinearities, and $V(x)$ is a time-independent steplike potential. More precisely we assume that $V(x)$ has two different asymptotic behaviors at $\pm\infty$:

$$a_+ = \lim_{x\to\infty} V(x) \neq \lim_{x\to-\infty} V(x) = a_-. \tag{1.2}$$

In order to simplify the presentation we shall assume in our treatment

$$a_+ = 1 \text{ and } a_- = 0,$$

but of course the arguments and the results below can be extended to the general case $a_+ \neq a_-$. Roughly speaking the Cauchy problem (1.1) looks like the following Cauchy problems respectively for $x >> 0$ and $x << 0$:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v + \partial_x^2 v = |v|^\alpha v \\ v(0) = v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v + (\partial_x^2 - 1)v = |v|^\alpha v \\ v(0) = v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \end{cases}. \tag{1.4}$$

We recall that in physics literature the steplike potentials are called *barrier potentials* and are very useful to study the interactions of particles with the boundary of a solid (see Gesztesy [G] and Gesztesy, Noewll and Pötz [GNP] for more details). We also mention the paper [DaSi] where, in between other results, it is studied via the twisting trick the long-time behavior of solutions to the propagator $e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V(x))}$, where $V(x)$ is steplike (see below for more details on the definition of the double scattering channels). For a more complete list of references devoted to the analysis

Date: October 19, 2016 .

of steplike potentials we refer to [DS]. Neverthless, at the best of our knowledge, no results are available about the nonlinear Cauchy problem (1.1) with a steplike potential.

It is worth mentioning that, since we work in $1-d$, we can rely on the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Hence it is straightforward to show that the Cauchy problem (1.1) is locally well posed in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, thanks to the defocusing character of the nonlinearity, we can rely on the conservation of the mass and of the energy:

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$E(u(t)) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\partial_x u(t)|^2 + V|u(t)|^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha+2}|u(t)|^{\alpha+2} \right) dx = E(u(0)), \tag{1.6}$$

in order to deduce that the solutions are global. Hence for any initial datum $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ there exists one unique global solution $u(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ to (1.1).

Our main aim is to study the scattering property for the solutions to (1.1). It is well known since the work by Nakanishi (see [N]) that, in the absence of a potential, the solutions to (1.3) (and also (1.4)) scatter to a free wave in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Roughly speaking this means that solutions to (1.3) (and (1.4)) behave asymptotically in time like the solution to the corresponding linear problem for some initial datum. The Nakanishi argument is a combination of the induction on the energy argument in conjunction with a suitable version of Morawetz inequalities with time-dependent weights. Alternative proofs based on the use of the interaction Morawetz estimates, first introduced in [CKSTT], have been obtained later (see [CHVZ, CGT, PV, V] and the references therein).

Our technique to attack the scattering of solutions to (1.1) will be based on another argument, namely the concentration-compactness/rigidity method pioneered by Kenig and Merle (see [KM1, KM2]).

It is well-known that a key point in order to prove scattering of nonlinear solutions to linear solutions, is a good knowledge of the dispersive properties of the linear flow, namely the so called Strichartz estimates. A lot of works have been written in the literature about the topic, both in $1-d$ and in higher dimensions. We briefly mention [AY, CK, DF, GS, W1, W2, Y] for the one dimensional case and [BPST, GVV, JK, JSS, R, RS] for the higher dimensional case, referring to the bibliographies contained in these papers for a more detailed list of works on the subject. It is worth mentioning that in all the papers mentioned above the potential perturbation is assumed to decay at infinity, hence steplike potential are not allowed. Concerning contributions in the literature to NLS perturbed by a decaying potential we have several results, in between we quote the following most recent ones: [BV, Car, CGV, GHW, H, La, Li, LZ], and all the references therein.

As far as we know, there are not results available in the literature about NLS perturbed by a steplike potential. Moreover the unique paper where the dispersive properties of the corresponding linear flow have been analyzed is [DS]. In the sequel we shall assume as a black box the following $L^1 - L^\infty$ decay estimate:

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)} f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-1/2} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall\, t \neq 0 \quad \forall\, f \in L^1 \tag{1.7}$$

where $V(x)$ is a steplike potential. For a proof of this estimate, under further assumptions on the steplike potential $V(x)$, we refer to Theorem 1.1 in [DS]. Of

course a first obstruction to guarantee the estimate above is a non empty point spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{H} = -\partial_x^2 + V$, since any eigenfunction would destroy the time decay of the linear flow. There is a huge literature devoted to those spectral properties, nevertheless we shall not focus on it since our main point is to show how to go from (1.7) to the analysis of the long time behavior of solutions to (1.1).

Before giving our main result we give a key definition.

Definition 1.1. Let $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ be given and $u(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ be the unique global solution to (1.1) with $V(x)$ that satisfies (1.2) with $a_- = 0$ and $a_+ = 1$. Then we say that $u(t,x)$ satisfies the *double scattering channels* provided that

$$\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \|u(t,x) - e^{it\partial_x^2}\eta_\pm - e^{it(\partial_x^2 - 1)}\gamma_\pm\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

for suitable $\eta_\pm, \gamma_\pm \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

We point out that there is a natural generalization of the definition above in the general setting (1.2), however to simplify of notations we shall focus on the case $a_- = 0$ and $a_+ = 1$.

We can now state our main result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $V \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ be such that:*

(1) $|\partial_x V(x)| \overset{|x|\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$;

(2) $\lim_{x\to\infty} |x|^{1+\varepsilon}|V(x) - 1| = 0, \lim_{x\to-\infty} |x|^{1+\varepsilon}|V(x)| = 0$ *for some* $\epsilon > 0$;

(3) the decay estimate (1.7) *is satisfied;*

(4) $x \cdot \partial_x V(x) \leq 0$.

Then for every $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, the unique corresponding solution $u(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ to (1.1) *satisfies the* double scattering channels.

The proof of the Theorem above will be splitted in two results that we state below separately for two reasons: first of all it will make more clear where the different assumptions are involved along the proof; the second reason is that we believe that the results below have their own independent interest.

We need to give another definition.

Definition 1.3. Let $u_0(x), u(t,x), V(x)$ be as in Definition 1.1. Then we say that $u(t,x)$ *scatters to a linear solution* provided that

$$\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \|u(t,x) - e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\varphi_\pm\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

for suitable $\varphi_\pm \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $V \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy* (1), (3), (4) *in Theorem 1.2, the asymptotic decay in* (1.2) *with $a_- = 0$, $a_+ = 1$. Then for every $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, the unique solution $u(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ to* (1.1) scatters to a linear solution.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is based on the concentration-compactness/rigidity argument. To be more precise the assumption (4) on $V(x)$ will be a key tool to show that the minimal element is the trivial function. Concerning the construction of the minimal element we recall that a basic tool is a suitable profile decomposition (adapted to the linear propagator) for sequences of functions bounded in the energy

space. To deal with this problem we will be inspired by a general result proved in [BV] in an abstract context. However the abstract assumptions in [BV] are not satisfied in our specific situation. For this reason some modifications have to be done concerning the proof of the profile decomposition in our setting (see Section 4 for more details). Moreover the construction of the minimal element, once the profile decomposition is established, is more complicated in our case compared with other considered in the literature, since we have two different behaviors for $V(x)$ at $\pm\infty$. Hence the argument will be more lengthy and more involved.

Notice that Theorem 1.2 follows by Theorem 1.4 in conjunction with the following one.

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that $V(x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies (2) in Theorem 1.2. Then for every $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi - e^{it\partial_x^2}\eta_\pm - e^{it(\partial_x^2-1)}\gamma_\pm\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = 0$$

for suitable $\eta_\pm, \gamma_\pm \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Notice that Theorem 1.5 is a purely linear statement. The main point (compared with other results in the literature) is that the asymptotic convergence is stated with respect to the H^1 topology and not with respect of the weaker L^2 topology. Indeed we point out that the content of Theorem 1.5 is well-known and has been proved in [DaSi] in the L^2 setting. However, it seems natural to us to understand, in view of Theorem 1.4, whether or not the result can be extended in the H^1 setting. In fact according with Theorem 1.4 the asymptotic convergence of the nonlinear dynamic to linear dynamic occurs in the energy space and not only in L^2. As far as we know the issue of H^1 linear scattering has not been previously discussed in the literature, not even in the case of a potential which decays in both directions $\pm\infty$, except in the $3-d$ case for a potential in the *Kato class*, (see [H]).

For this reason we have decided to state Theorem 1.5 as an independent result.

1.1. **Notations.** The spaces $L^p_I L^q = L^p_t(I; L^q_x(\mathbb{R}))$ are the usual time-space Lebesgue mixed spaces endowed with norm defined by

$$\|u\|_{L^p_t(I;L^q_x(\mathbb{R}))} = \left(\int_I \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(t,x)|^q \, dx \right|^{p/q} dt \right)^{1/p}$$

and by the context it will be clear which interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, bounded or unbounded, is considered. If $I = \mathbb{R}$ we will lighten the notation by writing $L^p L^q$. The operator τ_z will denote the translation operator $\tau_z f(x) := f(x-z)$. $\Re z$ and $\Im z$ are the common notations for the real and imaginary parts of a complex number. Since the space dimension is fixed, the Hilbert spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}), H^1(\mathbb{R}), H^2(\mathbb{R})$ will be denoted simply by L^2, H^1, H^2 and likely for all the $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ spaces. The notation $(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}$ means the usual L^2-inner product, i.e. $(f,g)_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\bar{g}\, dx$, $\forall\, f, g \in L^2$.

2. Strichartz Estimates

The well known Strichartz estimates are a basic tool in the studying of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and we will assume the validity of them in our

context. Roughly speaking, we can say that these essential space-time estimates arise from the so-called dispersive estimate for the Schrödinger propagator

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2-V)}f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-1/2}\|f\|_{L^1}, \quad \forall\, t\neq 0 \quad \forall\, f\in L^1, \tag{2.1}$$

which is proved in [DS] under suitable assumptions on the steplike potential $V(x)$, and we take for granted by hypothesis.
As a first consequence we get the following Strichartz estimates

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2-V)}f\|_{L^aL^b} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}$$

where $a,b\in[1,\infty]$ are assumed to be Strichartz admissible, namely

$$\frac{2}{a}+\frac{1}{b}=\frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.2}$$

We fix from now on the following Lebesgue exponents

$$r=\alpha+2, \qquad p=\frac{2\alpha(\alpha+2)}{\alpha+4}, \qquad q=\frac{2\alpha(\alpha+2)}{\alpha^2-\alpha-4}$$

(where $\alpha>4$ is given by the nonlinearity in (1.1)). Next we give the linear estimates that will be fundamental in our study.

The first one is

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2-V)}f\|_{L^\alpha L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^1} \tag{2.3}$$

which follows by interpolation between the Strichartz admissible pair $(4,\infty)$ (see (2.2)) and the pair (∞,∞), that in turn follows by the Sobolev embedding $H^1\hookrightarrow L^\infty$ and by the conservation of the H^1-norm along the linear flow.

The second one is

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2-V)}f\|_{L^pL^r} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^1}. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $p>4$, it follows by the same argument as above that

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2-V)}f\|_{L^pL^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^1}.$$

Moreover, as $(p,\frac{2p}{p-4})$ is Strichartz admissible (see (2.2)), then

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2-V)}f\|_{L^pL^{\frac{2p}{p-4}}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^1}.$$

We deduce (2.4) by interpolation.

The last estimates that we need are (some in between) the so-called inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates for non-admissible pairs:

$$\left\|\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V)}g(s)\,ds\right\|_{L^pL^r} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^{q'}L^{r'}} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\left\|\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V)}g(s)\,ds\right\|_{L^\alpha L^\infty} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^{q'}L^{r'}}. \tag{2.6}$$

For the proof we refer respectively to the works of Cazenave and Weissler [CW] and Foschi [F].

3. Perturbative nonlinear results

The results in this section are quite standard and hence we skip the complete proofs which can be found for instance in [BV, Caz, FXC]. In fact the arguments involved are a compound of dispersive properties of the linear propagator and a standard perturbation argument.

Along this section we assume that the estimate (1.7) is satisfied by the propagator associated with the potential $V(x)$. We don't need for the moment to assume the other assumptions done on $V(x)$.

We also specify that in the sequel the couple (p, r) is the one given in Section 2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u_0 \in H^1$ and assume that the corresponding solution to (1.1) satisfies $u(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1) \cap L^p L^r$. Then $u(t,x)$* scatters *to a linear solution in H^1.*

Proof. It is a standard consequence of (2.3) and (2.6). □

Lemma 3.2. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in H^1$ with $\|u_0\|_{H^1} \leq \varepsilon_0$, the solution $u(t,x)$ to the Cauchy problem (1.1)* scatters *to a linear solution in H^1.*

Proof. It is a simple consequence of (2.4) and (2.5). □

Lemma 3.3. *For every $M > 0$ there exist $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(M) > 0$ and $C = C(M) > 0$ such that: if $u(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$ is the unique global solution to (1.1) and $w \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1) \cap L^p L^r$ is a global solution to the perturbed problem*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w + \partial_x^2 w - Vw = |w|^\alpha w + e(t,x) \\ w(0,x) = w_0 \in H^1 \end{cases}$$

satisfying the conditions $\|w\|_{L^p L^r} \leq M$, $\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)} e(s)\, ds \|_{L^p L^r} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}(u_0 - w_0)\|_{L^p L^r} \leq \varepsilon$, then $u \in L^p L^r$ and $\|u - w\|_{L^p L^r} \leq C\varepsilon$.

Proof. The proof is contained in [FXC], see Proposition 4.7, and it relies on (2.5). □

4. Profile decomposition

The main content of this section is the following profile decomposition result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $V(x) \in L^\infty$ be such that: $V \geq 0$, (1.2) holds with $a_- = 0$ and $a_+ = 1$, the dispersive relation (1.7) is satisfied and $|\partial_x V| \overset{|x| \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$. Given a bounded sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^1$, $\forall\, J \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\forall\, 1 \leq j \leq J$ there exist $\{t_n^j\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{x_n^j\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sequences of real numbers and $\psi^j \in H^1$ such that, up to subsequences,*

$$v_n = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} e^{it_n^j (\partial_x^2 - V)} \tau_{x_n^j} \psi^j + R_n^J$$

with the following properties:

- *for any fixed j we have the two dichotomies*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{either} \quad t_n^j = 0 \quad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or} \quad t_n^j \to \pm\infty, \\ &\text{either} \quad x_n^j = 0 \quad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or} \quad x_n^j \to \pm\infty; \end{aligned}$$

- *(orthogonality condition) for any $j \neq k$*

$$|x_n^j - x_n^k| + |t_n^j - t_n^k| \overset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty;$$

- *(smallness of the reminder)* $\forall\,\varepsilon>0\quad\exists\,J=J(\varepsilon)$ *such that*
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|e^{it(\partial_x^2-V)}R_n^J\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}\le\varepsilon;$$
- *by defining* $\|v\|_{\mathcal H}^2=\int(|\partial_x v|^2dx+V(x)|v|^2)dx$ *we have*
$$\|v_n\|_{L^2}^2=\sum_{1\le j\le J}\|\psi^j\|_{L^2}^2+\|R_n^J\|_{L^2}^2+o(1),\quad\forall\,J\in\mathbb N$$
$$\|v_n\|_{\mathcal H}^2=\sum_{1\le j\le J}\|\tau_{x_n^j}\psi^j\|_{\mathcal H}^2+\|R_n^J\|_{\mathcal H}^2+o(1),\quad\forall\,J\in\mathbb N;$$
- $\forall\,J\in\mathbb N\quad$ *and* $\quad\forall\,2<p<\infty$ *we have*
$$\|v_n\|_{L^p}^p=\sum_{1\le j\le J}\|e^{it_n^j(\partial_x^2-V)}\tau_{x_n^j}\psi^j\|_{L^p}^p+\|R_n^J\|_{L^p}^p+o(1);$$
- *with* $E(v)=\frac12\int\big(|\partial_x v|^2+V|v|^2+\frac{2}{\alpha+2}|v|^{\alpha+2}\big)dx$, *we have*
$$E(v_n)=\sum_{1\le j\le J}E(e^{it_n^j(\partial_x^2-V)}\tau_{x_n^j}\psi^j)+E(R_n^j)+o(1),\quad\forall\,J\in\mathbb N.\tag{4.1}$$

In order to prove this result we check that all the assumptions required in the general profile decomposition stated in [BV] are satisfied provided that $V(x)$ is as in the assumptions of Proposition 4.1. More precisely if we denote by $\mathcal H=-\partial_x^2+V$ and $D(\mathcal H)=H^2$ then we have to show:

(1) there exist two positive constants c_1,c_2 such that, for any $u\in D(\mathcal H)$
$$c_1\|u\|_{H^1}^2\le(\mathcal Hu,u)_{L^2}+\|u\|_{L^2}^2\le c_2\|u\|_{H^1}^2;$$
(2) consider the bilinear operator $(\mathcal Hu,v)=(u,v)_{\dot H^1}+B(u,v)$, then
$$\forall\,\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb N}\subseteq\mathbb R\quad\text{ such that }\quad|x_n|\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\infty\quad\text{ or }\quad x_n\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\bar x\in\mathbb R,$$
$$\psi\in H^1,\quad h_n\overset{H^1}{\rightharpoonup}0\implies B(\tau_{x_n}\psi,\tau_{x_n}h_n)\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0;$$
(3) let $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb N}\in\mathbb R$ and $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb N}\in\mathbb R$ be two sequences. Then:
(a)
$$|t_n|\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\infty\implies\forall\,\psi\in H^1,\quad\|e^{it_n\mathcal H}(\tau_{x_n}\psi)\|_{L^p}\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0\quad\forall\,2<p<\infty;$$
(b)
$$t_n\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\bar t<\infty,\ |x_n|\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\infty\implies\forall\,\psi\in H^1\quad\exists\,\bar\psi\in H^1\quad\text{ such that}$$
$$\|\tau_{-x_n}(e^{it_n\mathcal H}(\tau_{x_n}\psi))-\bar\psi\|_{H^1}\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0;$$
(c)
$$t_n\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\bar t<\infty,\ x_n\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\bar x<\infty\implies$$
$$\|e^{it_n\mathcal H}(\tau_{x_n}\psi)-e^{i\bar t\mathcal H}(\tau_{\bar x}\psi)\|_{H^1}\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0\qquad\forall\,\psi\in H^1.$$

Remark 4.2. Notice that the condition (2) above is stronger compared with the corresponding hypothesis done in [BV], where it is assumed that (2) occurs under the weaker assumption that the sequence h_n is bounded in H^1 (and not $h_n\overset{H^1}{\rightharpoonup}0$ as required above). Nevertheless by a careful analysis of the argument in [BV], one can show that the stronger condition (2) above, is sufficient in order to deduce the profile decomposition stated in Proposition 4.1.

We proceed by checking the validity of (1), (2), (3a), (3b), (3c) above.

Proof of (1). Since $V \geq 0$, we trivially have

$$\|u\|_{H^1}^2 = \int \big(|\partial_x u|^2 + |u|^2\big)dx \leq \int \big(|\partial_x u|^2 + V|u|^2 + |u|^2\big)dx = (\mathcal{H}u, u)_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^2}$$
$$\leq (\|V\|_{L^\infty} + 1)\|u\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Proof of (2). The bilinear operator B is given by $B(u,v) = \int V(x)u(x)\bar{v}(x)\,dx$ and after a change of variable

$$B(\tau_{x_n}\psi, \tau_{x_n}h_n) = \int V(x+x_n)\psi(x)\bar{h}_n(x)\,dx.$$

The sequence $\tau_{-x_n}(x) = V(x+x_n)$ pointwise converges to the function $\tilde{V}(x) \in L^\infty$ defined by

$$\tilde{V}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad x_n \to +\infty \\ V(x+\bar{x}) & if \quad x_n \to \bar{x} \\ 0 & if \quad x_n \to -\infty \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$B(\tau_{x_n}\psi, \tau_{x_n}h_n) = \int V(x+x_n)\psi(x)\bar{h}_n(x)\,dx$$
$$= \int [V(x+x_n) - \tilde{V}(x)]\psi(x)\bar{h}_n(x)\,dx + \int \tilde{V}(x)\psi(x)\bar{h}_n(x)\,dx.$$

The function $\tilde{V}(x)\psi(x)$ belongs to L^2 since $\tilde{V}$ is bounded and $\psi \in H^1$, and since $h_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in H^1 (and then in L^2) we have that

$$\int \tilde{V}(x)\psi(x)\bar{h}_n(x)\,dx \to 0.$$

Moreover by using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\left|\int [V(x+x_n) - \tilde{V}(x)]\psi(x)\bar{h}_n(x)\,dx\right| \leq \sup_n \|h_n\|_{L^2}\|[V(\cdot+x_n) - \tilde{V}(\cdot)]\psi(\cdot)\|_{L^2};$$

since $|[V(\cdot+x_n) - \tilde{V}(\cdot)]\psi(\cdot)|^2 \lesssim |\psi(\cdot)|^2 \in L^1$ we have by dominated convergence theorem that also

$$\int [V(x+x_n) - \tilde{V}(x)]\psi(x)\bar{h}_n(x)\,dx \to 0,$$

so we conclude the proof.

Proof of (3a). Suppose $|t_n| \to \infty$. By (1.7) we have $\|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\|_{L^1 \to L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-1/2}$ for any $t \neq 0$. We recall that for the evolution operator $e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}$ the L^2 norm is conserved, so the estimate $\|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\|_{L^{p'} \to L^p} \lesssim |t|^{-(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})}$ holds from Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, thus we have the conclusion provided that $\psi \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$. If this is not the case we can conclude by a straightforward approximation argument.

Proof of (3b). Since translations are isometries in H^1, it suffices to show that for some $\bar{\psi} \in H^1$

$$\|e^{it_n(\partial_x^2 - V)}\tau_{x_n}\psi - \tau_{x_n}\bar{\psi}\|_{H^1} \to 0.$$

Let us consider the case $x_n \to -\infty$. By continuity in H^1 of the flow, it is straightforward that for our purpose it is enough to prove that, for $t_n \to \bar{t}$ and $x_n \to -\infty$

$$\|e^{i\bar{t}(\partial_x^2 - V)}\tau_{x_n}\psi - e^{i\bar{t}\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}\psi\|_{H^1} \to 0$$

where we exploited the commutativity property between the Schrödinger free propagator with the translation operator. Let us notice that we are proving that $\bar{\psi} = e^{i\bar{t}\partial_x^2}\psi$ is a function satisfying the thesis. We observe that

$$e^{i\bar{t}(\partial_x^2 - V)}\tau_{x_n}\psi - e^{i\bar{t}\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}\psi = \int_0^{\bar{t}} e^{i(\bar{t}-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)}(Ve^{-is\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}\psi)(s)\,ds$$

and hence,

$$\|e^{i\bar{t}(\partial_x^2 - V)}\tau_{x_n}\psi - e^{i\bar{t}\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}\psi\|_{H^1} \leq \bar{t}\|(\tau_{-x_n}V)e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi\|_{H^1}.$$

For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $V(x + x_n) \to 0$, namely $(\tau_{-x_n}V)(x) \to 0$ pointwise; since $V \in L^\infty$, $|\tau_{-x_n}V|^2|e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi|^2 \leq \|V\|_{L^\infty}^2|e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi|^2$ and $|e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi|^2 \in L^1$, by the dominated convergence theorem we get that

$$\|(\tau_{-x_n}V)e^{is\partial_x^2}\psi\|_{L^2} \to 0.$$

Analogously, since

$$\|\partial_x(Ve^{it\partial_x^2}(\tau_{x_n}\psi))\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_x V \cdot e^{it\partial_x^2}(\tau_{x_n}\psi)\|_{L^2} + \|V \cdot \partial_x(e^{it\partial_x^2}(\tau_{x_n}\psi))\|_{L^2}$$

the same conclusion holds because $|\partial_x V(x + x_n)| \to 0$ as $x_n \to -\infty$.
For the analysis of $x_n \to +\infty$ we proceed similarly by considering $\bar{\psi} = e^{i\bar{t}(\partial_x^2 - 1)}\psi$, so we need to show

$$\|e^{i\bar{t}(\partial_x^2 - V)}\tau_{x_n}\psi - e^{i\bar{t}(\partial_x^2 - 1)}(\tau_{x_n}\psi)\|_{H^1} \to 0;$$

again we have

$$e^{i\bar{t}(\partial_x^2 - V)}\tau_{x_n}\psi - e^{i\bar{t}(\partial_x^2 - 1)}(\tau_{x_n}\psi) = \int_0^{\bar{t}} e^{i(\bar{t}-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)}[(V-1)e^{is(\partial_x^2 - 1)}\tau_{x_n}\psi)](s)\,ds$$

and likely for the case $x_n \to -\infty$ the same conclusions holds because $\tau_{-x_n}(V-1) \to 0$ as $x_n \to +\infty$ and analogously for the first derivative.

Proof of (3c). It is straightforward and we skip it.

5. Nonlinear profiles

The results of this section will be crucial along the construction of the minimal element. We recall that the couple (p, r) is the one given in Section 2.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\psi \in H^1$ and $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be such that $|x_n| \to \infty$. Up to subsequences we have the following estimates:*

$$x_n \to -\infty \implies \|e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi_n - e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi_n\|_{L^pL^r} \to 0, \tag{5.1}$$

$$x_n \to +\infty \implies \|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - 1)}\psi_n - e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi_n\|_{L^pL^r} \to 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\psi_n := \tau_{x_n}\psi$.

Proof. Assume $x_n \to -\infty$ (the case $x_n \to \infty$ can be treated similarly). We first prove that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi_n\|_{L^p_{(T,\infty)}L^r} \overset{T\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By density there exists $\tilde{\psi} \in C_c^\infty$ such that $\|\tilde{\psi} - \psi\|_{H^1} \leq \varepsilon$, then by the estimate (2.4)

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}(\tilde{\psi}_n - \psi_n)\|_{L^pL^r} \lesssim \|\tilde{\psi}_n - \psi_n\|_{H^1} = \|\tilde{\psi} - \psi\|_{H^1} \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Since $\tilde{\psi} \in L^{r'}$, by interpolation between the dispersive estimate (2.1) and the conservation of the mass along the linear flow, we have

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\tilde{\psi}_n\|_{L^r} \lesssim |t|^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})}\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^{r'}},$$

and since $f(t) = |t|^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} \in L^p(|t| > 1)$, there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\sup_n \|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\tilde{\psi}_n\|_{L^p_{|t|\geq T}L^r} \leq \varepsilon,$$

hence we get (5.3). Now to obtain (5.1), we are reduced to show that for a fixed $T > 0$

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi_n - e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi_n\|_{L^p_{(0,T)}L^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Since $w_n = e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi_n - e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi_n$ is the solution of the following linear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w_n + \partial_x^2 w_n - V w_n = -V e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi_n \\ w_n(0) = 0 \end{cases},$$

by combining (2.4) with the Duhamel formula we get

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi_n - e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi_n\|_{L^p_{(0,T)}L^r} \lesssim \|(\tau_{-x_n}V)e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi\|_{L^1_{(0,T)}H^1}.$$

The thesis follows from the dominated convergence theorem. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence such that $x_n \to -\infty$, (resp. $x_n \to +\infty$) and $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$ be the unique solution to (1.3) (resp. (1.4)). Define $v_n(t,x) := v(t, x - x_n)$. Then, up to a subsequence, the followings hold:*

$$\left\| \int_0^t [e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}(|v_n|^\alpha v_n) - e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)}(|v_n|^\alpha v_n)]ds \right\|_{L^pL^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0; \tag{5.4}$$

$$\left(\textit{resp.} \left\| \int_0^t [e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - 1)}(|v_n|^\alpha v_n) - e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)}(|v_n|^\alpha v_n)]ds \right\|_{L^pL^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \right). \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. Assume $x_n \to -\infty$ (the case $x_n \to \infty$ can be treated similarly). We start by showing that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \left(\sup_n \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)}(|v_n|^\alpha v_n)\, ds \right\|_{L^p_{(T,\infty)}L^r} \right) = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

By Minkowski inequality and the interpolation of the dispersive estimate (2.1) with the conservation of the mass, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right) ds \right\|_{L_x^r} &\lesssim \int_0^t |t-s|^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} \||v_n|^\alpha v_n\|_{L_x^{r'}} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t-s|^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} \||v|^\alpha v\|_{L_x^{r'}} ds = |t|^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} * g\end{aligned}$$

with $g(s) = \||v|^\alpha v(s)\|_{L_x^{r'}}$. We conclude (5.6) provided that we show $|t|^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} * g(t) \in L_t^p$. By using the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (see for instance Stein's book [ST], p. 119) we get:

$$\left\| |t|^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} * g(t) \right\|_{L_t^p} \lesssim \||v|^\alpha v\|_{L^{\frac{2\alpha(\alpha+2)}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+4)}} L^{r'}}.$$

Next notice that by setting $a = \frac{2(\alpha+1)}{\alpha+2}$ and $b = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\alpha+2}$ so that $a + b = \alpha + 1$, by Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}\||v|^\alpha v\|_{L^{\frac{2\alpha(\alpha+2)}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+4)}} L^{r'}} &\leq \||v|^b\|_{L^{\frac{2\alpha(\alpha+2)}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+4)}} L^\infty} \||v|^a\|_{L^\infty L^{r'}} \\ &= \|v\|^{\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\alpha+2}}_{L^{\frac{2\alpha^2}{\alpha+4}} L^\infty} \|v\|^{\frac{2(\alpha+1)}{\alpha+2}}_{L^\infty L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We observe that $\alpha > 4$ implies $\frac{2\alpha^2}{\alpha+4} > 4$ and therefore we conclude since by conservation of the mass we have $v \in L^\infty L^2$, and since the solutions to (1.3) scatter we have $v \in L^q L^\infty$ for any $4 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Consider now T fixed: we have to show that

$$\left\| \int_0^t [e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right) - e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right)] ds \right\|_{L^p_{(0,T)} L^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

As usual we observe that

$$w_n(t,x) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right) ds - \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right) ds$$

is the solution of the following linear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w_n + \partial_x^2 w_n - V w_n = -V \displaystyle\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right) ds \\ w_n(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and likely for Lemma 5.1 we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right) ds - \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right) ds \right\|_{L^p_{(0,T)} L^r} &\lesssim \\ \lesssim \|(\tau_{-x_n} V)|v|^\alpha v\|_{L^1_{(0,T)} H^1}.&\end{aligned}$$

By using the dominated convergence theorem we conclude the proof.

□

The previous results imply the following useful corollaries.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence such that $x_n \to -\infty$, and let $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$ be the unique solution to (1.3) with initial datum $v_0 \in H^1$. Then*

$$v_n(t,x) = e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)} v_{0,n} - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right) ds + e_n(t,x)$$

where $v_{0,n}(x) := \tau_{x_n} v_0(x)$, $v_n(t,x) := v(t, x - x_n)$ and $\|e_n\|_{L^p L^r} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. It is a consequence of (5.1) and (5.4). □

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence such that $x_n \to +\infty$, and let $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$ be the unique solution to (1.4) with initial datum $v_0 \in H^1$. Then*

$$v_n(t,x) = e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)} v_{0,n} - \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)} \left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right) ds + e_n(t,x)$$

where $v_{0,n}(x) := \tau_{x_n} v_0(x)$, $v_n(t,x) := v(t, x - x_n)$ and $\|e_n\|_{L^p L^r} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. It is a consequence of (5.2) and (5.5). □

Lemma 5.5. *Let $v(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$ be a solution to (1.3) (resp. (1.4)) and let $\psi_\pm \in H^1$ (resp. $\varphi_\pm \in H^1$) be such that*

$$\|v(t,x) - e^{it\partial_x^2}\psi_\pm\|_{H^1} \underset{t\to\pm\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \|v(t,x) - e^{it(\partial_x^2 - 1)}\varphi_\pm\|_{H^1} \underset{t\to\pm\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \right).$$

Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be two sequences such that $x_n \to -\infty$ (resp. $x_n \to +\infty$) and $|t_n| \to +\infty$. Let us define moreover $v_n(t,x) := v(t - t_n, x - x_n)$ and $\psi_n^\pm(x) := \tau_{x_n}\psi_\pm(x)$ (resp. $\varphi_n^\pm(x) = \tau_{x_n}\varphi_\pm(x)$). Then, up to subsequence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &t_n \to \pm\infty \Longrightarrow \|e^{i(t-t_n)\partial_x^2}\psi_n^\pm - e^{i(t-t_n)(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi_n^\pm\|_{L^pL^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad \text{and} \\ &\left\| \int_0^t [e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}\left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right)ds - e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)}\left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right)]ds \right\|_{L^pL^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } t_n \to \pm\infty \Longrightarrow \|e^{i(t-t_n)(\partial_x^2 - 1)}\varphi_n^\pm - e^{i(t-t_n)(\partial_x^2 - V)}\varphi_n^\pm\|_{L^pL^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad \text{and} \right.$$

$$\left. \left\| \int_0^t [e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - 1)}\left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right)ds - e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V)}\left(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\right)]ds \right\|_{L^pL^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \right).$$

Proof. It is a suitable version of Proposition 3.6 in [BV]. Neverthless, since in [BV] the details of the proof are not given, we expose below the proof of the most delicate estimate, namely the second estimate in (5.7). After a change of variable in time, proving (5.7) is clearly equivalent to prove

$$\left\| \int_{-t_n}^t e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds - \int_{-t_n}^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds \right\|_{L^pL^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

We can focus on the case $t_n \to +\infty$ and $x_n \to +\infty$, being the other cases similar. The idea of the proof is to split the estimate above in to three regions, i.e. $(-\infty, -T)\times\mathbb{R}_x, (-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}_x, (T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}_x$ for some fixed T which will chose in an appropriate way below. The strategy is to use translation in the space variable to gain smallness in the strip $(-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}_x$ while we use smallness of Strichartz estimate in half spaces $(-T,T)^c\times\mathbb{R}_x$. Actually in $(T,+\infty)$ the situation is more delicate and we

will also use the dispersive relation.

Let us define $g(t) = \|v(t)\|^{\alpha+1}_{L^{(\alpha+1)r'}}$ and for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ let us consider $T = T(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} \||v|^\alpha v\|_{L^{q'}_{(-\infty,-T)}L^{r'}} < \varepsilon \\ \||v|^\alpha v\|_{L^{q'}_{(T,+\infty)}L^{r'}} < \varepsilon \\ \||v|^\alpha v\|_{L^1_{(-\infty,-T)}H^1} < \varepsilon \\ \||t|^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} * g(t)\|_{L^p_{(T,+\infty)}} < \varepsilon \end{cases} . \tag{5.8}$$

The existence of such a T is guaranteed by the integrability properties of v and its decay at infinity (in time). We can assume without loss of generality that $|t_n| > T$. We split the term to be estimated as follows:

$$\int_{-t_n}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds - \int_{-t_n}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2 - V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds =$$

$$= e^{it\partial_x^2}\int_{-t_n}^{-T} e^{-is\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds - e^{it(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\int_{-t_n}^{-T} e^{-is(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds$$

$$+ \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds - \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds.$$

By Strichartz estimate (2.4) and the third one of (5.8), we have, uniformly in n,

$$\left\| e^{it\partial_x^2}\int_{-t_n}^{-T} e^{-is\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds\right\|_{L^pL^r} \lesssim \varepsilon,$$

$$\left\| e^{it(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\int_{-t_n}^{-T} e^{-is(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds\right\|_{L^pL^r} \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Thus, it remains to prove

$$\left\| \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds - \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds\right\|_{L^pL^r} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

and we split it by estimating it in the regions mentioned above. By using (2.5) and the first one of (5.8) we get uniformly in n the following estimates:

$$\left\| \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds\right\|_{L^p_{(-\infty,-T)}L^r} \lesssim \||v|^\alpha v\|_{L^{q'}_{(-\infty,-T)}L^{r'}} \lesssim \varepsilon,$$

$$\left\| \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds\right\|_{L^p_{(-\infty,-T)}L^r} \lesssim \||v|^\alpha v\|_{L^{q'}_{(-\infty,-T)}L^{r'}} \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

The difference $w_n = \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds - \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V)}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)ds$ satisfies the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w_n + (\partial_x^2 - V)w_n = -V\displaystyle\int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(s)\,ds \\ w_n(-T) = 0 \end{cases},$$

then w_n satisfies the integral equation

$$w_n(t) = \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\left(-V\int_{-T}^{s} e^{i(s-\sigma)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(\sigma)\,d\sigma\right) ds$$

which we estimate in the region $(-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}_x$. By Sobolev embedding $H^1\hookrightarrow L^r$, Holder and Minkowski inequalities we have therefore

$$\Big\|\int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\Big(-V\int_{-T}^{s} e^{i(s-\sigma)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)(\sigma)\,d\sigma\Big)\,ds\Big\|_{L^p_{(-T,T)}L^r}\lesssim$$
$$\lesssim T^{1/p}\int_{-T}^{T}\Big\|(\tau_{-x_n}V)\int_{-T}^{s} e^{i(s-\sigma)\partial_x^2}|v|^\alpha v(\sigma)\,d\sigma\Big\|_{H^1}\,ds\lesssim\varepsilon$$

by means of Lebesgue's theorem.
It remains to estimate in the region $(T,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R}_x$ the terms

$$\int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)\,ds\qquad\text{and}\qquad\int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)\,ds.$$

We consider only one term being the same for the other. Let us split the estimate as follows:

$$\Big\|\int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)\,ds\Big\|_{L^p_{(T,+\infty)}L^r}\le$$
$$\le\Big\|\int_{-T}^{T} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)\,ds\Big\|_{L^p_{(T,+\infty)}L^r}$$
$$+\Big\|\int_{T}^{t} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_n}(|v|^\alpha v)\,ds\Big\|_{L^p_{(T,+\infty)}L^r}.$$

The second term is controlled by Strichartz estimates, and it is $\lesssim\varepsilon$ since we are integrating in the region where $\||v|^\alpha v\|_{L^{q'}((T,+\infty);L^{r'})}<\varepsilon$ (by using the second of (5.8)), while the first term is estimated by using the dispersive relation. More precisely

$$\Big\|\int_{-T}^{T} e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\tau_{x_x}(|v|^\alpha v)\,ds\Big\|_{L^p_{(T,+\infty)}L^r}\lesssim$$
$$\lesssim\Big\|\int_{-T}^{T}|t-s|^{-(\frac12-\frac1r)}\|v\|^{\alpha+1}_{L^{(\alpha+1)r'}}ds\Big\|_{L^p_{(T,+\infty)}}$$
$$\lesssim\Big\|\int_{\mathbb{R}}|t-s|^{-(\frac12-\frac1r)}\|v\|^{\alpha+1}_{L^{(\alpha+1)r'}}ds\Big\|_{L^p_{(T,+\infty)}}\lesssim\varepsilon$$

where in the last step we used Hardy-Sobolev-Littlewood inequality and the fourth of (5.8).

□

As consequences of the previous lemma we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $v(t,x)$, $\psi_\pm$ be as in Lemma 5.5 and $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}$ be sequences such that $x_n\to-\infty$ and $|t_n|\to\infty$. Then we have, up to subsequence, with the same notation in Lemma 5.5:*

$$t_n\to\pm\infty\Longrightarrow$$
$$v_n(t,x)=e^{it(\partial_x^2-V)}\psi_n^\pm-i\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V)}\big(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\big)ds+e_n(t,x)$$

where $\|e_n\|_{L^pL^r}\to0$ as $n\to\infty$.

Corollary 5.7. *Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence such that $x_n \to +\infty$ and let $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$ be a solution to (1.4) with initial datum $\psi \in H^1$. Then for a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $|t_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$*

$$v_n(t,x) = e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi_n - i\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V)}\big(|v_n|^\alpha v_n\big)ds + e_n(t,x)$$

where $\psi_n := e^{it_n(\partial_x^2-V)}\tau_{x_n}\psi$, $v_n := v(t-t_n, x-x_n)$ and $\|e_n\|_{L^pL^r} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

We shall also need the following results, for whose proof we refer to [BV].

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\psi \in H^1$. There exists $\hat{U}_\pm \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_\pm; H^1) \cap L^p_{\mathbb{R}_\pm}L^r$ solution to (1.1) such that*

$$\|\hat{U}_\pm(t,\cdot) - e^{-it(\partial_x^2-V)}\psi\|_{H^1} \overset{t\to\pm\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Moreover, if $t_n \to \mp\infty$, then

$$\hat{U}_{\pm,n} = e^{it(\partial_x^2-V)}\psi_n - i\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(\partial_x^2-V)}\big(|\hat{U}_{\pm,n}|^\alpha \hat{U}_{\pm,n}\big)ds + h_{\pm,n}(t,x)$$

where $\psi_n := e^{it_n(\partial_x^2-V)}\psi$, $\hat{U}_{\pm,n}(t,\cdot) =: \hat{U}_\pm(t-t_n,\cdot)$ and $\|h_{\pm,n}(t,x)\|_{L^pL^r} \to 0$.

6. Existence and extinction of the critical element

In view of the results stated in Section 3, we define

$$E_c = \sup\Big\{E > 0 \text{ such that if } \varphi \in H^1 \text{ with } E(\varphi) < E$$
$$\text{then the solution of (1.1) with initial data } \varphi \text{ is in } L^pL^r\Big\}.$$

6.1. Existence of the Minimal Element.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose $E_c < \infty$. Then there exists $\varphi_c \in H^1$, $\varphi_c \not\equiv 0$, such that the corresponding solution u_c of (1.1) has the property that $\{u_c(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ is a relatively compact subset in H^1.*

Proof. If $E_c < \infty$, there exists a sequence φ_n of elements of H^1 such that

$$E(\varphi_n) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} E_c,$$

and by denoting with $u_n \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$ the corresponding solution to (1.1) with initial datum φ_n then

$$u_n \notin L^pL^r.$$

We apply the profile decomposition to φ_n :

$$\varphi_n = \sum_{j=1}^{J} e^{-it_n^j(-\partial_x^2+V)}\tau_{x_n^j}\psi^j + R_n^J. \tag{6.1}$$

Claim 6.2. *There exists only one non-trivial profile, that is $J = 1$.*

Assume $J > 1$. For $j \in \{1,\dots,J\}$ to each profile ψ^j we associate a nonlinear profile U_n^j. We can have one of the following situations, where we have reordered without loss of generality the cases in these way:

(1) $(t_n^j, x_n^j) = (0,0)$,
(2) $t_n^j = 0$ and $x_n^j \to -\infty$,
(3) $t_n^j = 0$ and $x_n^j \to +\infty$,

(4) $x_n^j = 0$ and $t_n^j \to -\infty$,
(5) $x_n^j = 0$ and $t_n^j \to +\infty$,
(6) $x_n^j \to -\infty$ and $t_n^j \to -\infty$,
(7) $x_n^j \to -\infty$ and $t_n^j \to +\infty$,
(8) $x_n^j \to +\infty$ and $t_n^j \to -\infty$,
(9) $x_n^j \to +\infty$ and $t_n^j \to +\infty$,

that is, we arranged the cases in such a way that the k^{th} one occurs when $J^{k-1}+1 \leq j \leq J^k$. Notice that despite to [BV] we have nine cases to consider and not six (this is because we have to consider a different behavior of $V(x)$ as $x \to \pm\infty$). Since the argument to deal with the cases above is similar to the ones considered in [BV] we skip the details. The main point is that for instance in dealing with the cases (2) and (3) above we have to use respectively Corollary 5.3 and Corollary 5.4 (similarly we have to deal separately with the cases (6) and (8), (7) and (9)). We skip the details. Once it is proved that $J = 1$ and

$$\varphi_n = e^{it_n(\partial_x^2 - V)}\psi + R_n$$

with $\psi \in H^1$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V)}R_n\|_{L^pL^r} = 0$, then the existence of the critical element follows now by [FXC], ensuring that up to subsequence φ_n converges to ψ in H^1 and so $\varphi_c = \psi$.

We conclude the proof of Proposition 6.1. Let $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$. The sequence $\{u_c(t_n, x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset H^1$ satisfies the hypothesis of the maximizing sequence φ_n and as above we can extract a converging subsequence. Thus the relative compactness property of $\{u_c(t, .), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is proved. □

6.2. **Extinction of the Minimal Element.** We start by noticing that since the trajectory of the minimal element $\{u(t, x), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is compact in H^1 and it is not trivial, then by standard considerations we get:

$$\exists\, \epsilon_0, R_0 > 0 \text{ such that } \int_{|x|<R_0} |\partial_x u(t, x)|^2 dx > \epsilon_0 \quad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \sup_t \int_{|x|>R} \big(|\partial_x u(t, x)|^2 + |u|^2 + |u|^{\alpha+2}\big)dx = 0. \tag{6.3}$$

Another important tool is the following well–known virial type identity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi|u|^2 &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x\chi(x)\bar{u}\partial_x u dx\right) \\ &= 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^2\chi(x)|\partial_x u|^2 dx - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x\chi(x)\partial_x V|u|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^4\chi(x)|u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^2\chi(x)|u|^{\alpha+2} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Our aim is now to show that the minimal element $u(t, x)$ is necessarily the trivial function and hence we get a contradiction. Next we choose a positive function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ such that $\psi(x) = \psi(-x)$, $\psi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is increasing and

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) = x^2 & \text{if} \quad |x| \leq 1 \\ \psi(x) = 2 & \text{if} \quad |x| > 2 \end{cases}$$

and we choose $\chi_r(x) = r^2\psi(r^{-1}x)$ in the identity (6.4). Notice that we have, by combining Cauchy-Schwartz inequality with the conservation of the energy

$$\left|\Im\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x\chi_r\bar{u}\partial_x u dx\right| \leq \|\partial_x\chi_r\|_{L^\infty}\|u(t,x)\|_{H^1} \lesssim rE(u(0,x)) \lesssim r. \tag{6.5}$$

Moreover we have by using the properties of ψ:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x^2\chi_r|\partial_x u|^2dx &= 2\int_{|x|<r}|\partial_x u|^2dx + \int_{|x|>r}\partial_x^2\chi_r|\partial_x u|^2dx\\ &\geq 2\int_{|x|<r}|\partial_x u|^2dx - \|\partial_x^2\psi\|_{L^\infty}\int_{|x|>r}|\partial_x u|^2dx\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

and also

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x^2\chi_r|u|^{\alpha+2}dx \geq 2\int_{|x|<r}|u|^{\alpha+2}dx - \|\partial_x^2\psi\|_{L^\infty}\int_{|x|>r}|u|^{\alpha+2}dx. \tag{6.7}$$

Further, we get by conservation of the mass in (1.5),

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x^4\chi_r|u|^2dx\right| \leq r^{-2}\|\partial_x^4\psi\|_{L^\infty}\|u(0,x)\|_{L^2} \tag{6.8}$$

and by using the repulsivity assumption $x\cdot\partial_x V(x)\leq 0$ in conjunction with the monotonicity of ψ we get

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x\chi_r\partial_x V|u|^2dx \geq 0. \tag{6.9}$$

Next notice that by combining (6.4) with (6.2), (6.3), (6.5), (6.6), (6.7), (6.8), (6.9) we get for $r > R_0$ and for $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}Cr \geq\ &\Im\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x\chi_r\bar{u}(T,x)\partial_x u(T,x)dx\\ &-\Im\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x\chi_r\bar{u}(0,x)\partial_x u(0,x)dx \geq 2\epsilon_0 T + To_r(1).\end{aligned}$$

Then we get a contradiction provided that we select $r > 0$ such that $2\epsilon_0 + o_r(1) > 0$ and we let $T\to\infty$.

7. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Following [DaSi] (see *Example 1,* page 283) we have the following property:

$$\begin{gathered}\forall\,\psi\in L^2 \quad \exists\,\eta_\pm,\gamma_\pm\in L^2 \text{ such that}\\ \|e^{it(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\psi - e^{it\partial_x^2}\eta_\pm - e^{it(\partial_x^2-1)}\gamma_\pm\|_{L^2}\overset{t\to\pm\infty}{\longrightarrow}0.\end{gathered} \tag{7.1}$$

Our aim is now to show that (7.1) actually holds in H^1 provided that $\psi\in H^1$. We shall prove this property for $t\to+\infty$ (the case $t\to-\infty$ is similar).

Convergence (7.1) occurs in H^1 provided that $\psi\in H^2$. In order to do that it is sufficient to show that

$$\psi\in H^2 \Longrightarrow \eta_+,\gamma_+\in H^2. \tag{7.2}$$

Once it is proved then we conclude the proof of this first step by using the following interpolation inequality

$$\|f\|_{H^1}\leq\|f\|_{L^2}^{1/2}\|f\|_{H^2}^{1/2}$$

in conjunction with (7.1) and with the bound

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V(x))}\psi - e^{it\partial_x^2}\eta_+ - e^{it(\partial_x^2-1)}\gamma_+\|_{H^2} < \infty$$

(in fact this last property follows by the fact that $D(\partial_x^2 - V(x)) = H^2$ is preserved along the linear flow and by (7.2)). Thus we show (7.2). Notice that by (7.1) we get

$$\|e^{-it\partial_x^2}e^{it(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\psi - \eta_+ - e^{-it}\gamma_+\|_{L^2} \overset{t\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,$$

and by choosing as subsequence $t_n = 2\pi n$ we get

$$\|e^{-it_n\partial_x^2}e^{it_n(\partial_x^2-V(x))}\psi - \eta_+ - \gamma_+\|_{L^2} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

By combining this fact with the bound $\sup_n \|e^{-it_n\partial_x^2}e^{it_n(\partial_x^2-V(x)}\psi\|_{H^2} < \infty$ we get $\eta_+ + \gamma_+ \in H^2$. Arguing as above but by choosing $t_n = (2n+1)\pi$ we also get $\eta_+ - \gamma_+ \in H^2$ and hence necessarily $\eta_+, \gamma_+ \in H^2$.

The map $H^2 \ni \psi \mapsto (\eta_+, \gamma_+) \in H^2 \times H^2$ satisfies $\|\gamma_+\|_{H^1} + \|\eta_+\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H^1}$. Once this step is proved then we conclude by a straightforward density argument. By a linear version of the conservation laws (1.5), (1.6) we get

$$\|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V(x))}\psi\|_{H^1_V} = \|\psi\|_{H^1_V} \tag{7.3}$$

where

$$\|w\|^2_{H^1_V} = \int |\partial_x w|^2 dx + \int V(x)|w|^2 dx + \int |w|^2 dx.$$

Notice that this norm is clearly equivalent to the usual norm of H^1.
Next notice that by using the conservation of the mass we get

$$\|\eta_+ + \gamma_+\|^2_{L^2} = \|\eta_+ + e^{-2n\pi i}\gamma_+\|^2_{L^2} = \|e^{i2\pi n\partial_x^2}\eta_+ + e^{i2\pi n(\partial_x^2-1)}\gamma_+\|^2_{L^2}$$

and by using (7.1) we get

$$\|\eta_+ + \gamma_+\|^2_{L^2} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \|e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V(x))}\psi\|^2_{L^2} = \|\psi\|^2_{L^2}$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x(\eta_+ + \gamma_+)\|^2_{L^2} &= \|\partial_x(\eta_+ + e^{-2n\pi i}\gamma_+)\|^2_{L^2} = \|\partial_x(e^{i2\pi n\partial_x^2}(\eta_+ + e^{-i2\pi n}\gamma_+))\|^2_{L^2} \\ &= \|\partial_x(e^{i2\pi n\partial_x^2}\eta_+ + e^{i2\pi n(\partial_x^2-1)}\gamma_+)\|^2_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

and by using the previous step and (7.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x(\eta_+ + \gamma_+)\|^2_{L^2} &= \lim_{t\to+\infty} \|\partial_x(e^{it(\partial_x^2 - V(x))}\psi)\|^2_{L^2} \\ &\le \lim_{t\to\infty} \|e^{it\mathcal{H}}\psi\|^2_{H^1_V} = \|\psi\|^2_{H^1_V} \lesssim \|\psi\|^2_{H^1}.\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing we get

$$\|\eta_+ + \gamma_+\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H^1}.$$

By a similar argument and by replacing the sequence $t_n = 2\pi n$ by $t_n = (2n+1)\pi$ we get

$$\|\eta_+ - \gamma_+\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H^1}.$$

The conclusion follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author would like to thank Professor Kenji Yajima for having brought the theory of double channel scattering to his knowledge. The second author is supported by the research project PRA 2015.

References

[AY] Artbazar, G., Yajima, K., *The L^p-continuity of wave operators for one dimensional Schrödinger operators,* J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 7 (2000), no. 2, 221-240;

[B] Bourgain J., *Global well-posedness of defocusing critical NLS in the radial case*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1999), 145-171.

[BPST] Burq, N., Planchon, F., Stalker, J., Tahvildar-Zadeh, A. S., *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with the inverse-square potential*, J. Funct. Anal. 203 (2003), 519-549;

[BPST2] Burq, N., Planchon, F., Stalker, J., Tahvildar-Zadeh, A. S., *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with potentials of critical decay,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004), no. 6, 1665-1680;

[BV] Banica, V., Visciglia, N., *Scattering for non linear Schrödinger equation with a delta potential,* J. Differential Equations 260 (2016) 4410-4439;

[Car] Carles, R., *Sharp weights in the Cauchy problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential,* Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 66 (2015), 2087-2094;

[CGT] Colliander, J., Grillakis, M., Tzirakis, M., *Tensor products and correlation estimates with applications to nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 62(7) (2009) 920-968;

[CHVZ] Colliander, J., Holmer, J., Visan, M., Zhang, X., *Global existence and scattering for rough solutions to generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equations on $\mathbb{R}$,* Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 7 (3) (2008), 467-489;

[CKSTT] Colliander J., Keel M., Staffilani G., Tao T., Takaoka H., *Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$*, Ann. of Math. 167 (2008), 767-865;

[CK] Christ, M., Kiselev, A., *Scattering and wave operators for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying non-smooth potentials,* Geom. Func. Anal. 12 (6) (2002) 1174-1234;

[Caz] Cazenave, T., *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 10. Ams;

[CW] Cazenave, T., Weissler, F.B., *The Cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^s,* Nonlinear Anal. 14 (1990) no. 10, 807-836;

[CGV] Cuccagna, S., Georgiev, V., Visciglia, N., *Decay and scattering of small solutions of pure power NLS in $\mathbb{R}$ with $p > 3$ and with a potential,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 67(6) (2014) 957-981;

[DF] D'Ancona, P., Fanelli, L., *L^p-boundedness of the wave operator for the one dimensional Schrödinger operator,* Comm. Math. Phys. 268(2) (2006) 415-438;

[DS] D'Ancora, P., Selberg, S., *Dispersive estimate for the 1D Schrödinger equation with a steplike potential,* Journal of Differential Equations 252 (2012) 1603-1634;

[DaSi] Davies, E.B., Simon, B., *Scattering Theory for Systems with Different Spatial Asymptotics on the Left and Right* Commun. Math. Phys. 63, 277-301 (1978);

[FXC] Fang, D., Xie, J., Cazenave, T., *Scattering for the focusing energy-subcritical nonlinear Schrödinger equation,* Sci. China Math., 54(10):2037-2062, (2011);

[F] Foschi, D., *Inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates,* Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations., 2(1):1-24, (2005);

[GHW] Germain, P., Hani, Z., Walsh, S., *Nonlinear resonances with a potential: Multilinear estimates and an application to NLS,* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 18 (2015) 8484-8544;

[G] Gesztesy, F., *Scattering theory for one-dimensional systems with nontrivial spatial asymptotics*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1218, Springer, Berlin (1986), 93-122;

[GNP] Gesztesy, F., Nowell, R., Pötz, W., *One-dimensional scattering theory for quantum systems with nontrivial spatial asymptotics,* Differential Integral Equations 10 (3) (1997) 521-546;

[GS] Goldberg, M., Schlag, W., *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimensions one and three,* Comm. Math. Phys. 251(1) (2004) 157-178;

[GVV] Goldberg, M., Vega, L., Visciglia, N., *Counterexamples of Strichartz Inequalities for Schrödinger Equations with Repulsive Potentials,* Int. Math. Res. Not., Volume 2006, Pages 1-16;

[H] Hong, Y., *Scattering for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a potential,* arXiv preprint, https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.3944 (2014);

[HR] Holmer, J., Roudenko, S., *A Sharp Condition for Scattering of the Radial 3D Cubic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation,* Commun. Math. Phys. 282, 435-467 (2008);

[JK] Jensen, A., Kato, T., *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions,* Duke Math. J. 46, 583-611 (1979);

[JSS] Journé, J.-L., Soffer, A., Sogge, C.D., *Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators,* Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 44, 573-604 (1991);

[KM1] Kenig, C. E., Merle, F., *Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing, non-linear Schrödinger equation in the radial case,* Invent. math. 166, 645-675 (2006);

[KM2] Kenig, C. E., Merle, F., *Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical focusing non-linear wave equation,* Acta Math., 201 (2008), 147-212;

[La] Lafontaine, D., *Scattering for NLS with a potential on the line,* arXiv preprint, https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.01993, (2016);

[Li] Li, Z., *Scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials for small data,* arXiv preprint, https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.05562v1, (2015);

[LZ] Li, Z., Zhao, L., *Decay and scattering of solutions to three dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials,* arXiv preprint, https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.02212, (2015);

[LP] Linares, F., Ponce, G., *Introduction to nonlinear dispersive equations,* Universitext. Springer, New York (2009);

[N] Nakanishi, K., *Energy scattering for nonlinear Klein-Gordon and Schrödinger equations in spatial dimensions 1 and 2,* Journal of Functional Analysis 169 (1999), no. 1, 201-225;

[PV] Planchon, F., Vega, L., *Bilinear virial identities and applications,* Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. (4) 42(2) (2009) 261-290;

[R] Rauch, J., *Local decay of scattering solutions to Schrödinger's equation,* Comm. Math. Phys. 61, 149-168 (1978);

[RS] Rodnianski, I., Schlag, W., *Time decay for solutions of Schrödinger equations with rough and time-dependent potentials,* Invent. Math. 155 (2004), 451-513;

[ST] Stein, E., *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions,* Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1970);

[V] Visciglia, N., *On the decay of solutions to a class of defocusing NLS,* Math. Res. Lett. 16 (2009), 919-926;

[Y] Yajima, K., *The $W^{k,p}$-continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators,* J. Math. Soc. Japan 47, 551-581 (1995);

[W1] Weder, R., *The $W_{k,p}$-continuity of the Schrödinger wave operators on the line,* Comm. Math. Phys. 208 (1999) no. 2, 507-520;

[W2] Weder, R., *$L^p - L^{p'}$ Estimates for the Schrödinger Equation on the Line and Inverse Scattering for the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with a Potential,* Journal of Functional Analysis, 170 37-68 (2000).

Luigi Forcella

Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy

E-mail address: luigi.forcella@sns.it

Nicola Visciglia

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo, 5, 56100 Pisa, Italy

E-mail address: nicola.visciglia@unipi.it